

Intersections of Leray Complexes and Regularity of Monomial Ideals

Gil Kalai* Roy Meshulam†

Abstract

For a simplicial complex X and a field \mathbb{K} , let $\tilde{h}_i(X) = \dim \tilde{H}_i(X; \mathbb{K})$. It is shown that if X, Y are complexes on the same vertex set, then for $k \geq 0$

$$\tilde{h}_{k-1}(X \cap Y) \leq \sum_{\sigma \in Y} \sum_{i+j=k} \tilde{h}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \cdot \tilde{h}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) \quad .$$

A simplicial complex X is d -Leray over \mathbb{K} , if $\tilde{H}_i(Y; \mathbb{K}) = 0$ for all induced subcomplexes $Y \subset X$ and $i \geq d$. Let $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ denote the minimal d such that X is d -Leray over \mathbb{K} . The above theorem implies that if X, Y are simplicial complexes on the same vertex set then

$$L_{\mathbb{K}}(X \cap Y) \leq L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y) \quad .$$

Reformulating this inequality in commutative algebra terms, we obtain the following result conjectured by Terai: If I, J are square-free monomial ideals in $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then

$$\text{reg}(I + J) \leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J) - 1$$

where $\text{reg}(I)$ denotes the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I .

Math Subject Classification. 13F55, 55U10.

Keywords and Phrases. Simplicial homology, Monomial ideals, Regularity.

*Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel, and Departments of Computer Science and Mathematics, Yale University. e-mail: kalai@math.huji.ac.il . Research supported by ISF, BSF and NSF grants.

†Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel, and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton 08540. e-mail: meshulam@math.technion.ac.il . Research supported by the Israel Science Foundation and by a State of New Jersey grant.

1 Introduction

Let X be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V . The *induced* subcomplex on a subset of vertices $S \subset V$ is $X[S] = \{\sigma \in X : \sigma \subset S\}$. Let $\{\}$ be the *void complex* and let $\{\emptyset\}$ be the *empty complex*. Any non-void complex contains \emptyset as a unique (-1) -dimensional face. The *star* of a subset $A \subset V$ is $\text{St}(X, A) = \{\tau \in X : \tau \cup A \in X\}$. The *link* of $A \subset V$ is $\text{lk}(X, A) = \{\tau \in \text{St}(X, A) : \tau \cap A = \emptyset\}$. If $A \not\subset X$ then $\text{St}(X, A) = \text{lk}(X, A) = \{\}$. All homology groups considered below are with coefficients in a fixed field \mathbb{K} and we denote $\tilde{h}_i(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \tilde{H}_i(X)$. Note that $\tilde{h}_{-1}(\{\}) = 0 \neq 1 = \tilde{h}_{-1}(\{\emptyset\})$. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let X, Y be finite simplicial complexes on the same vertex set. Then for $k \geq 0$*

$$\tilde{h}_{k-1}(X \cap Y) \leq \sum_{\sigma \in Y} \sum_{i+j=k} \tilde{h}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \cdot \tilde{h}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) \quad . \quad (1)$$

We next discuss some applications of Theorem 1.1. A simplicial complex X is *d-Leray* over \mathbb{K} if $\tilde{H}_i(Y) = 0$ for all induced subcomplexes $Y \subset X$ and $i \geq d$. Let $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ denote the minimal d such that X is d -Leray over \mathbb{K} . Note that $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) = 0$ iff X is a simplex. $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) \leq 1$ iff X is the clique complex of a chordal graph (see e.g. [11]).

The class $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}^d$ of d -Leray complexes over \mathbb{K} arises naturally in the context of Helly type theorems [3]. The *Helly number* $h(\mathcal{F})$ of a finite family of sets \mathcal{F} is the minimal positive integer h such that if $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}'} K \neq \emptyset$ for all $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$ of cardinality $\leq h$, then $\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K \neq \emptyset$. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{K})$ of a family of sets \mathcal{K} , is the simplicial complex whose vertex set is \mathcal{K} and whose simplices are all $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}'} K \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that for any field \mathbb{K}

$$h(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1 + L_{\mathbb{K}}(N(\mathcal{F})).$$

For example, if \mathcal{F} is a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , then by the Nerve Lemma (see e.g. [2]) $N(\mathcal{F})$ is d -Leray over \mathbb{K} , hence follows Helly's Theorem: $h(\mathcal{F}) \leq d+1$. This argument actually proves the Topological Helly Theorem: If \mathcal{F} is a finite family of closed sets in \mathbb{R}^d such that the intersection of any subfamily of \mathcal{F} is either empty or contractible, then $h(\mathcal{F}) \leq d+1$.

Nerves of families of convex sets however satisfy a stronger combinatorial property called *d-collapsibility* [11], that leads to some of the deeper extensions of Helly's Theorem. It is of considerable interest to understand which

combinatorial properties of nerves of families of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d extend to arbitrary d -Leray complexes. For some recent work in this direction see [1, 6]. One consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let X_1, \dots, X_r be simplicial complexes on the same finite vertex set. Then*

$$L_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^r X_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r L_{\mathbb{K}}(X_i) \quad (2)$$

$$L_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r L_{\mathbb{K}}(X_i) + r - 1 \quad . \quad (3)$$

Example: Let V_1, \dots, V_r be disjoint sets of cardinalities $|V_i| = a_i$, and let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i$. Let $\Delta(A)$ denote the simplex on vertex set A , with boundary $\partial\Delta(A) \simeq S^{|A|-2}$. Consider the complexes

$$X_i = \Delta(V_1) * \dots * \Delta(V_{i-1}) * \partial\Delta(V_i) * \Delta(V_{i+1}) * \dots * \Delta(V_r) \quad .$$

Then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^r X_i = \partial\Delta(V_1) * \dots * \partial\Delta(V_r) \simeq S^{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i - r - 1}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i = \partial\Delta(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r) \simeq S^{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i - 2} \quad .$$

The only non-contractible induced subcomplex of X_i is $\partial\Delta(V_i)$, therefore $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X_i) = a_i - 1$. Similar considerations show that $L_{\mathbb{K}}(\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i - 1$ and $L_{\mathbb{K}}(\bigcap_{i=1}^r X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i - r$, so equality is attained in both (2) and (3).

Theorem 1.2 was first conjectured in a different but equivalent form by Terai [8], in the context of monomial ideals. Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let M be a graded S -module. Let $\beta_{ij}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{K}, M)_j$ be the graded Betti numbers of M . The *regularity* of M is the minimal $\rho = \text{reg}(M)$ such that $\beta_{ij}(M)$ vanish for $j > i + \rho$ (see e.g. [4]).

For a simplicial complex X on $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ let I_X denote the ideal of S generated by $\{\prod_{i \in A} x_i : A \notin X\}$. The following fundamental result of Hochster relates the Betti numbers of I_X to the topology of the induced subcomplexes X .

Theorem 1.3 (Hochster [5]).

$$\beta_{ij}(I_X) = \sum_{|W|=j} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \tilde{H}_{j-i-2}(X[W]) \quad . \quad (4)$$

Hochster's formula (4) implies that $\text{reg}(I_X) = L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) + 1$. The case $r = 2$ of Theorem 1.2 is therefore equivalent to the following result conjectured by Terai [8].

Theorem 1.4. *Let X and Y be simplicial complexes on the same vertex set. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(I_X + I_Y) &= \text{reg}(I_{X \cap Y}) \leq \text{reg}(I_X) + \text{reg}(I_Y) - 1 \\ \text{reg}(I_X \cap I_Y) &= \text{reg}(I_{X \cup Y}) \leq \text{reg}(I_X) + \text{reg}(I_Y) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1.4 can also be formulated in terms of projective dimension. Let $X^* = \{\tau \subset [n] : [n] - \tau \notin X\}$ denote the Alexander dual of X . Terai [7] showed that

$$\text{pd}(S/I_X) = \text{reg}(I_{X^*}) \quad . \quad (5)$$

Using (5) it is straightforward to check that Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to

Theorem 1.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pd}(I_X \cap I_Y) &\leq \text{pd}(I_X) + \text{pd}(I_Y) \\ \text{pd}(I_X + I_Y) &\leq \text{pd}(I_X) + \text{pd}(I_Y) + 1 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

□

In Section 2 we give a spectral sequence for the relative homology group $H_*(Y, X \cap Y)$, which directly implies Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 3.

2 A Spectral Sequence for $H_*(Y, X \cap Y)$

Let K be a simplicial complex. The subdivision $\text{sd}(K)$ is the order complex of the set of the non-empty simplices of K ordered by inclusion. For $\sigma \in K$ let $D_K(\sigma)$ denote the order complex of the interval $[\sigma, \cdot] = \{\tau \in K : \tau \supset \sigma\}$.

$D_K(\sigma)$ is called the *dual cell* of σ . Let $\dot{D}_K(\sigma)$ denote the order complex of the interval $(\sigma, \cdot] = \{\tau \in K : \tau \supsetneq \sigma\}$. Note that $\dot{D}_K(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\text{sd}(\text{lk}(K, \sigma))$ via the simplicial map $\tau \rightarrow \tau - \sigma$. Since $D_K(\sigma)$ is contractible, it follows that $H_i(D_K(\sigma), \dot{D}_K(\sigma)) \cong \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\text{lk}(K, \sigma))$ for all $i \geq 0$. Write $K(p)$ for the family of p -dimensional simplices in K . The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let X and Y be two complexes on the same vertex set V , such that $\dim Y = n$. Then there exists a homology spectral sequence $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ converging to $H_*(Y, X \cap Y)$ such that*

$$E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} \bigoplus_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ i+j=p+q}} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \otimes \tilde{H}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma))$$

for $0 \leq p \leq n$, $0 \leq q$, and $E_{p,q}^1 = 0$ otherwise.

Proof: In the sequel we identify abstract complexes with their geometric realizations. Let Δ denote the simplex on V . For $0 \leq p \leq n$ let

$$K_p = \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in Y \\ \dim \sigma \geq n-p}} \Delta[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma) \subset Y \times \text{sd}(Y)$$

and

$$L_p = \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in Y \\ \dim \sigma \geq n-p}} X[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma) \subset (X \cap Y) \times \text{sd}(Y) .$$

Write $K = K_n$, $L = L_n$. Let

$$\pi : K \rightarrow \bigcup_{\sigma \in Y} \Delta[\sigma] = Y$$

denote the projection on the first coordinate. For a point $z \in Y$, let $\tau = \text{supp}(z)$ denote the minimal simplex in Y containing z . The fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = \{z\} \times D_Y(\tau)$ is a cone, hence π is a homotopy equivalence. Similarly, the restriction

$$\pi|_L : L \rightarrow \bigcup_{\sigma \in Y} X[\sigma] = X \cap Y$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Let $F_p = C_*(K_p, L_p)$ be the group of cellular chains of the pair (K_p, L_p) . The filtration $0 \subset F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_n = C_*(K, L)$

gives rise to a homology spectral sequence $\{E^r\}$ converging to $H_*(K, L) \cong H_*(Y, X \cap Y)$. We compute E^1 by excision and the Künneth formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p,q}^1 &= H_{p+q}(F_p/F_{p-1}) \cong H_{p+q}(K_p, L_p \cup K_{p-1}) \cong \\
H_{p+q}\left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} \Delta[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma), \bigcup_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} X[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma) \cup \Delta[\sigma] \times \dot{D}_Y(\sigma)\right) &\cong \\
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} H_{p+q}(\Delta[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma), X[\sigma] \times D_Y(\sigma) \cup \Delta[\sigma] \times \dot{D}_Y(\sigma)) &\cong \\
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q} H_i(\Delta[\sigma], X[\sigma]) \otimes H_j(D_Y(\sigma), \dot{D}_Y(\sigma)) &\cong \\
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in Y(n-p)} \bigoplus_{i+j=p+q} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \otimes \tilde{H}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) . &
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: The derivation of the above spectral sequence may be viewed as a simple application of the method of simplicial resolutions. See Vassiliev's papers [9, 10] for a description of this technique, and for far reaching applications to plane arrangements and to spaces of Hermitian operators.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_{k-1}(X \cap Y) &\leq \tilde{h}_{k-1}(Y) + h_k(Y, X \cap Y) \leq \\
&\tilde{h}_{k-1}(Y) + \sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_{p,q}^1 = \\
\tilde{h}_{k-1}(Y) + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \sigma \in Y \\ \dim \sigma \geq n-k}} \sum_{i+j=k} \tilde{h}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \cdot \tilde{h}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) &\leq \\
\sum_{\sigma \in Y} \sum_{i+j=k} \tilde{h}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) \cdot \tilde{h}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) . &
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Intersection of Leray Complexes

We first recall a well-known characterization of d -Leray complexes. For completeness we include a proof.

Proposition 3.1. *For a simplicial complex X , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is d -Leray over \mathbb{K} .
- (ii) $\tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X, \sigma)) = 0$ for every $\sigma \in X$ and $i \geq d$.

It will be convenient to prove a slightly more general result. Let $k, m \geq 0$. We say that a simplicial complex X on V satisfies *condition $P(k, m)$* if $\tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A], B)) = 0$ for all $B \subset A \subset V$ such that $|A| \geq |V| - k$, $|B| \leq m$.

Claim 3.2. *If $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ then conditions $P(k, m)$ and $P(k+1, m-1)$ are equivalent.*

Proof: Suppose $B \subset A \subset V$ and $B_1 \subset A_1 \subset V$ satisfy $B = B_1 \cup \{v\}$, $A = A_1 \cup \{v\}$ for some $v \notin A_1$, and let

$$Z_1 = \text{lk}(X[A_1], B_1) \quad , \quad Z_2 = \text{St}(\text{lk}(X[A], B_1), v) \quad .$$

Then

$$Z_1 \cup Z_2 = \text{lk}(X[A], B) \quad , \quad Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \text{lk}(X[A], B)$$

and by Mayer-Vietoris there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(\text{lk}(X[A], B_1)) &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A], B)) \rightarrow \\ \tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A_1], B_1)) &\rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A], B_1)) \rightarrow \dots \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$\mathbf{P}(k, m) \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(k+1, m-1)$: Suppose X satisfies $P(k, m)$ and let $B_1 \subset A_1 \subset V$ such that $|V| - |A_1| = k+1$ and $|B_1| \leq m-1$. Choose a $v \in V - A_1$ and let $A = A_1 \cup \{v\}$, $B = B_1 \cup \{v\}$. Let $i \geq d$, then by the assumption on X , both the second and the fourth terms in (6) vanish. It follows that $\tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A_1], B_1)) = 0$ as required.

$\mathbf{P}(k+1, m-1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(k, m)$: Suppose X satisfies $P(k+1, m-1)$ and let $B \subset A \subset V$ such that $|V| - |A| \leq k$ and $|B| = m$. Choose a $v \in B$ and let $A_1 = A - v$, $B_1 = B - v$. Let $i \geq d$, then by the assumption on X , both the first and the third terms in (6) vanish. It follows that $\tilde{H}_i(\text{lk}(X[A], B)) = 0$ as required.

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1: Let X be a complex on n vertices. Then (i) is equivalent to $P(n, 0)$, while (ii) is equivalent to $P(0, n)$. On the other hand, $P(n, 0)$ and $P(0, n)$ are equivalent by Claim 3.2.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By induction it suffices to consider the $r = 2$ case. Let X, Y be complexes on V with $L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) = a$, $L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y) = b$, and let $k > a + b$. Then for any $\sigma \in Y$ and for any i, j such that $i + j = k$, either $i > a$ hence $\tilde{h}_{i-1}(X[\sigma]) = 0$, or $j > b$ which by Proposition 3.1 implies that $\tilde{h}_{j-1}(\text{lk}(Y, \sigma)) = 0$. By Theorem 1.1 it then follows that $\tilde{h}_{k-1}(X \cap Y) = 0$. Therefore

$$L_{\mathbb{K}}(X \cap Y) \leq \max_{S \subset V} (L_{\mathbb{K}}(X[S]) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y[S])) = L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y) . \quad (7)$$

Next, let $k \geq L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y) + 1$. Then by (7) and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(X) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(X \cup Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(X \cap Y) \rightarrow$$

it follows that $\tilde{H}_k(X \cup Y) = 0$. Hence

$$L_{\mathbb{K}}(X \cup Y) \leq \max_{S \subset V} (L_{\mathbb{K}}(X[S]) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y[S]) + 1) = L_{\mathbb{K}}(X) + L_{\mathbb{K}}(Y) + 1.$$

□

Acknowledgment: We thank Jürgen Herzog for his comments concerning the commutative algebra aspects of this work.

References

- [1] N. Alon, G. Kalai, J. Matousek, R. Meshulam, Transversal numbers for hypergraphs arising in geometry, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **29** (2002), 79–101.
- [2] A. Björner, Topological methods, in *Handbook of Combinatorics* (R. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, Eds.) , 1819–1872, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.

- [3] J. Eckhoff, Helly, Radon and Carathéodory type theorems, in *Handbook of Convex Geometry* (P.M. Gruber and J.M. Wills Eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, *The Geometry of Syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 229, Springer Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [5] M. Hochster, Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes, in *Ring theory, II (Proc. Second Conf., Univ. Oklahoma, Norman, Okla., 1975)*, pp. 171–223. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 26, Dekker, New York, 1977.
- [6] G. Kalai, R. Meshulam, A topological colorful Helly theorem. *Adv. Math.* **191**(2005), 305–311.
- [7] N. Terai, Alexander duality theorem and Stanley-Reisner rings, in *Free resolutions of coordinate rings of projective varieties and related topics* (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1998). Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1078 (1999), 174–184.
- [8] N. Terai, Eisenbud-Goto inequality for Stanley-Reisner rings, in *Geometric and combinatorial aspects of commutative algebra (Messina, 1999)* 379–391, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 217, Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [9] V. A. Vassiliev, Topological order complexes and resolutions of discriminant sets. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* **66(80)** (1999), 165–185.
- [10] V. A. Vassiliev, Topology of plane arrangements and their complements, *Russian Math. Surveys* **56**(2001), 365–401.
- [11] G. Wegner, d -Collapsing and nerves of families of convex sets, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **26**(1975) 317–321.