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simple parametrization in terms of Higgs mechanism
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? 246 GeV scale
? stable against radiative corrections

Simple parametrization in terms of Higgs mechanism

"by hand:"
? minimum away from origin

## ? 246 GeV scale

? stable against radiative correcti
eg (weakly coupled): supersymmetric extensions of SM stop mass + top Yukawa $->$ minimum away from origin
origin of scale: new dynamics: dynamical supersymmetry breaking


## EFTs (bottom-up constructions)

SM fields: most general $\mathscr{L}$ consistent with symmetries (global, gauge)
on-shell bootstrap: SM particles: most general $\mathscr{A}$ consistent with symmetries (global, gauge)


LHC: wealth of new measurements-never done before!
to interpret these: SM + ? BSM

- precision ("known unknowns")
- parametrize possible BSM effects ("unknown unknowns") EFFECTIVE THEORIES


## many EFT operators/amplitudes; many measurements

## SMEFT:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=6: \sim 10^{3}\left(10^{2} \text { for } N_{g}=1\right) \\
& d=8: \sim 10^{4}\left(10^{3} \text { for } N_{g}=1\right) \\
& \qquad \mathscr{L}=\sum_{i} c_{i} \widehat{O}_{i}\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



amplitudes: direct mapping
bootstrapping amplitudes:

$$
\rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{S M}+\mathscr{A}_{E F T}
$$

## rediscover SM <br> (more generally: elements of QFT)

Eg: De Angelis Accettulli-Huber '21 comprehensive analysis
most general EFT amplitude

- model independent
- no issues of field redefinitions, basis dependence, coupling redefinition
- natural approach as we try to go beyond SM
- directly in terms of physical quantities -> construct sensitive observables
bootstrapping amplitudes:

$$
\rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{S M}+\mathscr{A}_{E F T}
$$

$\square$
rediscover SM
(more generally: elements of QFT)
standard QFT textbook example (Schwartz): spin-1 interactions $->$ Lie groups

-> $\quad C^{a b c}$ completely antisymmetric
structure constants!
( factorization of 4-pt: Jacobi id )

bootstrapping amplitudes:

$$
\rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{S M}+\mathscr{A}_{E F T}
$$

$\square$
standard QFT textbook example (Schwartz): spin-1 interactions —> Lie group
Higgs mechanism

## Plan:

o anatomy of the Higgs mechanism at the amplitude level
o application: on-shell derivation of SMEFT, HEFT amplitudes at low-energy

# On-shell Higgsing 

IR unification of UV amplitudes
Arkani-Hamed Huang Huang '17
N=4 Coulomb branch amplitudes Craig Elvang Kiermaier Slatyer '11

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

massless amplitudes of unbroken theory $\rightarrow$ "Higgs" to get low-energy massive amplitudes extra Higgs legs non-dynamical: soft: $\quad H\left(q_{i}\right) \quad q_{i} \rightarrow 0$

probe field space
matching at high energy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E \gg q \sim m(\sim V E V \text { v) } \\
M_{n}(1, \ldots, n)=A_{n}(1, \ldots, n)+v \lim _{q \sim v \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}(1, \ldots, n ; H(q))+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

- massless spinor structures get bolded:
n-pt amplitude with external
vector n

$$
\begin{gathered}
(n+1) \text {-pt amplitude } \\
\text { with external } \\
\text { Higgses } n,(n+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\propto\left(\frac{1}{(k+q)^{2}}=\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right) \times\left(A_{3} \propto g\right)
$$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing
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n-pt amplitude with external
vector n


## ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-pt amplitude

 with externalHiggses $\mathrm{n},(\mathrm{n}+1)$

$\propto\left(\frac{1}{(k+q)^{2}}=\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right) \times\left(A_{3} \propto g\right)$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

- massless spinor structures get bolded:
n-pt amplitude with external
vector n


## ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-pt amplitude

 with externalHiggses n, (n+1)
n-pt amplitude with external massive vector $n$
soft Higgs leg supplies
second lightlike momentum to form massive momentum

$$
\mathbf{p}=k+q
$$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

- massless spinor structures get bolded:
n-pt amplitude with external
vector n
( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-pt amplitude with external
Higgses n, (n+1)
symmetrization over LG indices: exchanging k, q in Higgs legs
n-pt amplitude with external massive vector n
soft Higgs leg supplies second lightlike momentum to form massive momentum

$$
\mathbf{p}=k+q
$$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

- massless spinor structures get bolded:
n-pt amplitude with external vector n
( $n+1$ )-pt amplitude with external Higgses $n,(n+1)$

n-pt amplitude with external massive vector $n$

$$
\text { massless spinor structure gets bolded } k] k] \rightarrow \mathbf{p}] \mathbf{p}]
$$

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

just as for gauge symmetry:
Higgs mechanism <-> Lorentz symmetry
from Lorentz symmetry pov:
bolding the massless spinor structure = covariantizing wrt full massive LG

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

contact terms:
massless fermion: $i] \rightarrow$ i]
massless vector $i] i] \rightarrow$ i]i]
massless scalar with momentum insertion $\left.p_{i}=i\right]\langle i$
$->$ 1. massive scalar CT with momentum insertion $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$\rightarrow$ 2. massive vector CT $\left.p_{i}=i\right]\langle i \rightarrow \mathbf{i}]\langle i$
( longitudinal vector from Goldstone boson )

## anatomy of on-shell Higgsing

- couplings get $\mathcal{O}(v)$ corrections:


$$
C_{n}=c_{n}+\# v c_{n+1}+\# v^{2} c_{n+2}+\ldots
$$

low-E SM + perturbative unitarity $->$ SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) SM
relation between gauge symmetry invariance \& perturbative unitarity completely transparent with amplitudes written with LG covariant spinors
low-E amplitude featuring massive vector:

$\mathbf{p}] \mathbf{p}], \quad \mathbf{p}\rangle \mathbf{p}\rangle, \mathbf{p}] \mathbf{p}\rangle / \mathbf{M}$
problematic terms feature
$(I, J)=(1,2) \sim \frac{E^{2}}{M^{2}}$
$(I, J)=(1,1) \quad \propto \frac{\left.p^{1}\right\rangle}{M}=$ finite : spurious spinor
low-E amplitude featuring massive vector:


$$
\frac{\mathbf{p}] \mathbf{p}\rangle}{M} \equiv \frac{\left.p]^{\{I} p\right\rangle^{J\}}}{M}
$$

$(I, J)=(1,2) \sim \frac{E^{2}}{M^{2}}$

$$
\left.\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H E: & p
\end{array}\right]^{1} \sim \sqrt{E} \quad p\right\rangle^{1} \sim M / \sqrt{E}\right)
$$

$(I, J)=(1,1) \quad \propto \frac{\left.p^{1}\right\rangle}{M}=$ finite : spurious spinor
cancellation of bad energy growth $<->$ cancellation of spurious spinor dependence
reference spinor of massless gauge boson polarization
low-E amplitude featuring massive vector:

$(I, J)=(1,2) \sim \frac{E^{2}}{M^{2}}$

$$
\left.\left.(H E: \quad p]^{1} \sim \sqrt{E} \quad p\right\rangle^{1} \sim M / \sqrt{E}\right)
$$

$(I, J)=(1,1) \quad \propto \frac{\left.p^{1}\right\rangle}{M}=$ finite : spurious spinor
cancellation of bad energy growth $<->$ cancellation of spurious spinor dependence
reference spinor of massless gauge boson polarization

## EFT applications

## On-shell applications to EFTs (massless)

o selection rules: explain zeros in

- matrix of anomalous dimensions of EFT operators (loop cuts \& generalized cuts)

Cheung Shen '15
Bern Parra-Martinez Sawyer '20

- interference of SM x EFT amplitudes (tree)

> Azatov Contino Machado Riva ‘16
o derive anomalous dimensions of EFT operators (loop cuts \& generalized cuts)

## On-shell applications to EFTs (massless + massive)

o count (\& construct ) bases of EFT operators:
YS Weiss '18
Ma Shu Xiao '19
Remmen Rodd '19
Li Ren Shu Xiao Yu Zheng '20
Durieux Machado '20

- UV matching
in many of these:
amplitude




## different EFTs:

low-E: SM particles only / SM + new light particle(s)

- SMEFT: $\operatorname{SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1)\text {at}\Lambda ~}$
massless SM fields, $h$ in Higgs doublet large scale separation possible: $\Lambda \gg v$
- otherwise: only $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{XU}(1)_{\text {ем }}$ at $\Lambda$; massive $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{h}$ "HEFT"
full symmetry realized non-linearly; no scale separation: $\Lambda \sim v$ recent: Cohen Craig Lu Sutherland '20

SMEFT: to derive predictions:

- basis of operators in unbroken theory
- turn on Higgs VEV $\rightarrow$ Lagrangian in broken theory: SM fields, couplings shift
- derive Feynman rules of broken theory in some gauge
- redefine parameters from "input" physical masses, couplings
amplitudes: working with physical dof's, couplings only


## HEFT:

## "sick" EFT : eg, integrated out fields with masses from EWSB

<-> no scale separation
UV matching ambiguous
Dawson Fontes Quezada-Calonge Sanz-Cillero '23
amplitudes: make concrete

## amplitude construction: bottom-up:

-> starting with the massive (and massless) particles we know: construct most general amplitudes

- 3-points (renormalizable + higher-dim): dictated by little group, symmetries
- factorizable parts of higher-point amplitudes (determined by 3-pts..)
- higher-point contact terms: dictated by little group, symmetries

$$
\mathscr{A}=\frac{[\cdots] \cdots\langle\cdots\rangle}{\Lambda^{\#}} P\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

local: no poles

$$
\mathscr{A}=\frac{[\cdots] \cdots\langle\cdots\rangle}{\Lambda^{\#}} P\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

## carries LG weight; "stripped" off all Lorentz invariants $s_{i j}$ "stripped contact term" SCT

different SCTs can come from integrating out different UV fields — different suppressions

Chang Chen Liu Luty '22

$$
\mathscr{A}=\frac{[\cdots] \cdots\langle\cdots\rangle}{\Lambda^{\#}} P\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

carries LG weight; "stripped" of all Lorentz invariants $s_{i j}$ "stripped contact term" SCT
polynomial in Lorentz invariants $s_{i j}$ subject to kinematical constraints, $\mathrm{eg}, s_{12}+s_{13}+s_{23}=\sum m^{2}$
derivative expansion


# What about (low-energy) SMEFT amplitudes? 

use on-shell Higgsing

massless $\mathscr{A}$
impose full $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$
derive massive $\mathscr{M}$
(contact term part only)

## results: HEFT, SMEFT

## HEFT inventory

(observables; many more results on operators, anomalous dim's via on-shell)

- all HEFT 3-points (+matching to SMEFT)
- [all generic 3-points for spins up to 3
- all generic 4-pt SCTs for spins 0, 1/2, 1 ]
- HEFT 4-points: hggg, Zggg, ffVh, WWhh

Durieux Kitahara Machado YS Weiss'20
Shadmi et al '18, Durieux et al '19, Balkin et al '21

+ some full amplitudes (factorizable + contact terms): ffWh, ffZh, WWhh
- $5 V(4 W+Z$ etc $)$

De Angelis '21

- Higgs, top 4pts in terms of momenta+polarizations
- all HEFT 4pts up to $d=8$

Chang et al '22, '23
Liu Ma YS Waterbury '23

- most relevant for collider studies: 2 to 2
- dimension counting: classify contact terms by energy growth
full set of EFT contact terms with $E^{2}$ growth: (mostly dim-6 operators)

| Massive amplitudes | $E^{2}$ contact terms |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{M}($ WWhh $)$ | $C_{W W h h}^{00}\langle\mathbf{1 2}\rangle[\mathbf{1 2}], C_{W W h h}^{ \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 2})^{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}($ ZZhh $)$ | $C_{Z Z h h}^{00}\langle\mathbf{1 2}\rangle[\mathbf{1 2}], C_{Z Z}^{ \pm \pm h h}(\mathbf{1 2})^{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{gghh})$ | $C_{\text {gghh }}^{ \pm \pm}(12)^{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}(\gamma \gamma h h)$ | $C_{\gamma \gamma h h}^{ \pm \pm}(12)^{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}(\gamma Z h h)$ | $C_{\gamma Z h h}^{ \pm}(12)^{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}($ hhhh $)$ | $C_{\text {hhhh }}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f^{c} f h h\right)$ | $C_{f f f h}^{ \pm \pm}(12)$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f^{c} f W h\right)$ | $C_{f f W h}^{+-0}[\mathbf{1 3}]\langle\mathbf{2 3}\rangle, C_{f f W h}^{-+0}\langle\mathbf{1 3 \rangle}\rangle \mathbf{2 3 ]}, C_{\text {ffWh }}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 3 )} \mathbf{( 2 3 )}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f^{c} f Z h\right)$ | $C_{f f Z h}^{+-0}[\mathbf{1 3 ]}]\langle\mathbf{2 3}\rangle, C_{f f Z h}^{-+0}\langle\mathbf{1 3}\rangle\left[\mathbf{2 3 ]}, C_{f f Z h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 3})(\mathbf{2 3})\right.$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f^{c} f \gamma h\right)$ | $C_{f f \gamma h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(13)(23)$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(q^{c} q g h\right)$ | $C_{\text {qqgh }}^{ \pm \pm \pm}$(13)(23) |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f^{c} f f^{c} f\right)$ | $C_{f f f}^{ \pm \pm \pm, 1}(\mathbf{1 2})(\mathbf{3 4}), C_{f f f}^{--++}\langle\mathbf{1 2}\rangle[\mathbf{3 4}], C_{f f f f}^{-+-+}\langle\mathbf{1 3}\rangle[\mathbf{2 4}], C_{f f f f}^{-++-}\langle\mathbf{1 4}\rangle[\mathbf{2 3}]$ $C_{f f f f}^{ + \pm \pm \pm 2}(\mathbf{1 3})(\mathbf{2 4}), C_{f f f f}^{+f--}[\mathbf{1 2}]\langle\mathbf{3 4}\rangle, C_{f f f f}^{+-+-}[\mathbf{1 3}]\langle\mathbf{2 4}\rangle, C_{f f f f}^{+--+}[\mathbf{1 4}]\langle\mathbf{2 3}\rangle$ |

$(12)=[12]$ or $\langle 12\rangle$

C's: Wilson coefficients
most suppressed by $\bar{\Lambda}^{2}$ (amplitude dim-less)

- similarly: derived full set of CTs with $E^{3}, E^{4}$ growth
- corresponding to $d \leq 8$ HEFT operators
- clear identification of operator dimension from dim-analysis:

$$
\text { factors of } p] p\rangle \quad \text { (external massive vector) } \quad \rightarrow p] p\rangle / M
$$

any extra powers of $E$ compensated by powers of $\Lambda$
-> read off dimension of operator
but recall $\Lambda \sim v ; \quad E / v$ terms in amplitudes reflect non-locality of HEFT
(cancel in SMEFT amplitudes: gauge invariance <-> perturbative unitarity)

## SMEFT 4-pts

## full list of CTs from $d \leq 6$ SMEFT

| Massive $d=6$ amplitudes | SMEFT Wilson coefficients |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(W_{L}^{+} W_{L}^{-} h h\right)=C_{W W h h}^{00}\langle\mathbf{1 2}\rangle[\mathbf{1 2}]$ | $\overline{C_{W W h h}^{00}}=\left(c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}^{(+)}-3 c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}^{(-)}\right) / 2$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(W_{ \pm}^{+} W_{ \pm}^{-} h h\right)=C_{W W h h}^{ \pm \pm}(12){ }^{2}$ | $C_{W W h h}^{ \pm \pm}=2 c_{W W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(Z_{L} Z_{L} h h\right)=C_{Z Z h h}^{00}\langle\mathbf{1 2}\rangle[\mathbf{1 2}]$ | $C_{Z Z h h}^{00}=-2 c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}^{(+)}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(Z_{ \pm} Z_{ \pm} h h\right)=C_{Z Z h h}^{ \pm \pm}(12)^{2}$ | $C_{Z Z h h}^{ \pm \pm}=c_{W}^{2} c_{W W H H}^{ \pm \pm}+s_{W}^{2} c_{B B H H}^{ \pm \pm}+c_{W} s_{W} c_{B W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(g_{ \pm} g_{ \pm} h h\right)=C_{g g h h}^{ \pm \pm}(12)^{2}$ | $C_{g g h h}^{ \pm \pm}=c_{G G H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(\gamma_{ \pm} \gamma_{ \pm} h h\right)=C_{\gamma \gamma h h}^{ \pm \pm}(12)^{2}$ | $C_{\gamma \gamma h h}^{ \pm \pm}=s_{W}^{2} c_{W W H H}^{ \pm \pm}+c_{W}^{2} c_{B B H H}^{ \pm \pm}-c_{W} s_{W} c_{B W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(\gamma_{ \pm} Z h h\right)=C_{\gamma Z h h}^{ \pm}(12)^{2}$ | $C_{\gamma Z h h}^{ \pm}=s_{W} c_{W} c_{W W H H}^{ \pm \pm}-s_{W} c_{W} c_{B B H H}^{ \pm \pm}+\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{W}^{2}-c_{W}^{2}\right) c_{B W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}(h h h h)=C_{h h h h}$ | $C_{h h h h}=-3 c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}+45 v^{2} c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{3}}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{ \pm}^{c} f_{ \pm} h h\right)=C_{f f h h}^{ \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 2})$ | $C_{f f h h}^{ \pm \pm}=3 c_{\Psi \psi H H H}^{ \pm \pm} v /(2 \sqrt{2})$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{+}^{c} f_{-}^{\prime} W_{L} h\right)=C_{f f W h}^{+-0}[\mathbf{1 3}]\langle\mathbf{2 3}\rangle$ | $C_{f f W h}^{+-0}=\left(c_{\Psi \Psi H H}^{+-,(+)}-c_{\Psi \Psi H H}^{+-,(-)}\right) / 2$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{-}^{c} f_{+}^{\prime} W_{L} h\right)=C_{f f W h}^{-+0}\langle\mathbf{1 3}\rangle[\mathbf{2 3}]$ |  |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{ \pm}^{c} f_{ \pm}^{\prime} W_{ \pm} h\right)=C_{f f W h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 3})(\mathbf{2 3})$ | $C_{f f W h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}=c_{\Psi \psi W H}^{ \pm \pm \pm} / 2$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{+}^{c} f_{-} Z_{L} h\right)=C_{f f Z h}^{+-0}[\mathbf{1 3}]\langle\mathbf{2 3}\rangle$ | $C_{e_{L} e_{L} Z h}^{+-0}=-i \sqrt{2} c_{\Psi \Psi H H}^{+-,(+)}, C_{\nu_{L} \nu_{L} Z h}^{+-0}=-i\left(c_{\Psi \Psi H H}^{+-,(+)}+c_{\Psi \Psi H H}^{+-,(-)}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{-}^{c} f_{+} Z_{L} h\right)=C_{f f Z h}^{-+0}\langle\mathbf{1 3}\rangle[\mathbf{2 3}]$ | $C_{f f Z h}^{-+0, \mathrm{CT}}=-i \sqrt{2} c_{\psi \psi H H}^{-+}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{ \pm}^{c} f_{ \pm} Z_{ \pm} h\right)=C_{f f Z h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 3})(\mathbf{2 3})$ | $C_{f f Z h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}=-\left(s_{W} c_{\Psi \psi B H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}+c_{W} c_{\Psi \psi W H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(f_{ \pm}^{c} f_{ \pm} \gamma_{ \pm} h\right)=C_{f f \gamma h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}(\mathbf{1 3 )}(\mathbf{2 3 )}$ | $C_{f f \gamma h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}=\left(-s_{W} c_{\Psi \psi W H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}+c_{W} c_{\Psi \psi B H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}\left(q_{ \pm}^{c} q_{ \pm} g_{ \pm}^{A} h\right)=C_{q q g h}^{ \pm \pm \pm} \lambda^{A}(\mathbf{1} 3)(\mathbf{2} 3)$ | $C_{q q g h}^{ \pm \pm \pm}=c_{\Psi \psi G H}^{ \pm \pm \pm} / \sqrt{2}$ |

Table 3: The low-energy $E^{2}$ contact terms (left column) and their $d=6$ coefficients in the SMEFT (right column). $c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}$ without a superscript is the renormalizable four-Higgs coupling. The mapping for four fermion contact terms is trivial, so we do not include them here.

Ma Liu YS Waterbury 2301.11349

## to get these:

## start with massless dim-6 SMEFT amplitudes

## and Higgs these to get massive amplitudes

for completeness provide full mapping of 4 -pt $d \leq 6$ EFT amplitudes to Warsaw basis

Ma Shu Xiao ‘19

| Amplitude | Contact term | Warsaw basis operator | Coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}^{c} H_{j}^{c} H_{k}^{c} H^{l} H^{m} H^{n}\right)$ | $T_{i j k}^{+l m n}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H} / 6$ | $c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{3}}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}^{c} H_{j}^{c} H^{k} H^{l}\right)$ | $s_{12} T_{i j}^{+k l}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H D} / 2+\mathcal{O}_{H \square} / 4$ | $c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}^{(+)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}^{c} H_{j}^{c} H^{k} H^{l}\right)$ | $\left(s_{13}-s_{23}\right) T_{i j}^{-k l}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H D} / 2-\mathcal{O}_{H \square} / 4$ | $c_{\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}}^{(-)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(B^{ \pm} B^{ \pm} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $(12)^{2} \delta_{i}^{j}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H B} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{H \tilde{B}}\right) / 2$ | $c_{B B H H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(B^{ \pm} W^{I \pm} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $(12)^{2}\left(\sigma^{I}\right)_{i}^{j}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H W B} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{H \tilde{W} B}$ | $c_{B W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(W^{I+} W^{J+} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $(12)^{2} \delta^{I J} \delta_{i}^{j}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H W} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{H \tilde{W}}\right) / 2$ | $c_{W W H H}^{ \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(g^{A \pm} g^{B \pm} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $(12)^{2} \delta^{A B} \delta_{i}^{j}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H G} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{H \tilde{G}}\right) / 2$ | $c_{G G H H}^{ \pm \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(L_{i}^{c} e H_{j}^{c} H^{k} H^{l}\right)$ | $[12] T_{i j}^{+k l}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{e H} / 2$ | $c_{\text {LeHHH }}^{++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} d^{b} H_{j}^{c} H^{k} H^{l}\right)$ | $[12] T_{i j}^{+k l} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{d H} / 2$ | $c_{Q d H H H}^{++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} u^{b} H_{j}^{c} H_{k}^{c} H^{l}\right)$ | $[12] \varepsilon_{i m} T_{j k}^{+m l} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{u H} / 2$ | $c_{Q u H H H}^{++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(e^{c} e H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $\langle 142] \delta_{i}^{j}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{\text {He }} / 2$ | $c_{e e H H}^{-+}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(u_{a}^{c} u^{b} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $\langle 142] \delta_{i}^{j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H u} / 2$ | $c_{u u H H}^{-+}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(d_{a}^{c} d^{b} H_{i}^{c} H^{j}\right)$ | $\langle 142] \delta_{i}^{j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{H d} / 2$ | $c_{d d H}^{-+}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(u_{a}^{c} d^{b} H^{i} H^{j}\right)$ | $\langle 142] \epsilon^{i j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\mathcal{O}_{\text {Hud }} / 2$ | $c_{u d H H}^{-+}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(L_{i}^{c} L^{j} H_{k}^{c} H^{l}\right)$ | $[142\rangle T_{i k}^{+j l}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H L}^{(1)}+\mathcal{O}_{H L}^{(3)}\right) / 8$ | $c_{\text {LLHH }}^{+-,(+)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(L_{i}^{c} L^{j} H_{k}^{c} H^{l}\right)$ | $[142\rangle T_{i k}^{-j l}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H L}^{(1)}-\mathcal{O}_{H L}^{(3)}\right) / 8$ | $c_{L L H H}^{+-,(-)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} Q^{b, j} H_{k}^{c} H^{l}\right)$ | $[142\rangle T_{i k}^{+j l} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\left(3 \mathcal{O}_{H Q}^{(1)}+\mathcal{O}_{H Q}^{(3)}\right) / 8$ | $c_{Q Q H H}^{+-,(+)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} Q^{b, j} H_{k}^{c} H^{l}\right)$ | $[142\rangle T_{i k}^{-j l} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{H Q}^{(1)}-\mathcal{O}_{H Q}^{(3)}\right) / 8$ | $c_{Q Q H H}^{+-,(-)}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(L_{i}^{c} e B^{+} H^{j}\right)$ | [13] 233$] \delta_{i}^{j}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{e B} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{\text {LeBH }}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} d^{b} B^{+} H^{j}\right)$ | [13][23] $\delta_{i}^{j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{d B} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q d B H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} u^{b} B^{+} H_{j}^{c}\right)$ | [13][23] $\epsilon_{i j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{u B} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q u B H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(L_{i}^{c} e W^{I+} H^{j}\right)$ | [13][23] $\left(\sigma^{I}\right)_{i}^{j}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{e W} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{\text {LeW }}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} d^{b} W^{I+} H^{j}\right)$ | [13][23] $\left(\sigma^{I}\right)_{i}^{j} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{d W} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q d W H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} u^{b} W^{I+} H_{j}^{c}\right)$ | [13][23] $\left(\sigma^{I}\right)_{i k} \epsilon_{j}^{k} \delta_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{u W} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q u W H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} d^{b} g^{A+} H^{j}\right)$ | [13][23] $\delta_{i}^{j}\left(\lambda^{A}\right)_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{d G} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q d G H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(Q_{a, i}^{c} u^{b} g^{A+} H_{j}^{c}\right)$ | [13][23] $\epsilon_{i j}\left(\lambda^{A}\right)_{a}^{b}$ | $-i \mathcal{O}_{u G} /(2 \sqrt{2})$ | $c_{Q u G H}^{+++}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(W^{I \pm} W^{J \pm} W^{K \pm}\right)$ | (12)(23)(31) $\epsilon^{I J K}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{W} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}\right) / 6$ | $c_{W W W}^{ \pm \pm \pm}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(g^{A \pm} g^{B \pm} g^{C \pm}\right)$ | $(12)(23)(31) f^{A B C}$ | $\left(\mathcal{O}_{G} \pm i \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\right) / 6$ | $c_{G G G}^{ \pm \pm \pm}$ |

Table 2: Massless $d=6$ SMEFT contact terms [34] and their relations to Warsaw basis operators $[3]$. For each operator (or operator combination) $\mathcal{O}$ in the third column, $c \mathcal{O}$ generates the structure in the second column with the coefficient $c$ given in the fourth column.
$c$-superscripts denote charge conjugation.

## on to dim-8 SMEFT

can have interesting effects (eg example here)
~ 1000 operators; with amplitudes, easy to concentrate on the relevant ones for a given observable example: WW, ZZ .. production (sensitive probe of EWSB)
all relevant 4-pt CTs first generated at dim-8
(dim-6 SMEFT merely corrects SM-3pts) from VVV, VVHH etc: easy to see at amplitude level: 8 powers of $p$ ] (or $p\rangle$ ) $->\Lambda^{4}$ or 6 powers in ffVV $\rightarrow$ SMEFT: $\Lambda^{4}$

## VV pair production from dim=8 SMEFT: $V=W, Z, \gamma, g$

derived all low-energy 4-pt CTs generated by dim-8 SMEFT
$V V \rightarrow V V \quad \overline{f f} \rightarrow V V \quad$.. (massless fermions)

- nonzero mass "resurrect" vanishing SM-SMEFT interference $\propto M_{W}, M_{Z}$
- good at $M_{V} \sim E \ll \Lambda$ (not just high-E where EFT not reliable)
- sensitivity to anomalous Higgs self couplings
- up/down quark $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ relations broken (first happens at dim-8)


## VV pair production from dim=8 SMEFT: $V=W, Z, \gamma, g$

## Goldberg Liu YS 2406.

+ distinguish HEFT vs SMEFT:
- various coupling relations in SMEFT
- some SMEFT zeros (due to hypercharge or accidental)

2 to 2 amplitudes:

$$
\mathscr{A}=\underbrace{\frac{[\cdots] \cdots\langle\cdots\rangle}{\Lambda^{\#}}}_{\substack{\text { scattering } \\
\text { angle } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { decay angles }}} P \underbrace{P\left(\frac{s}{\Lambda^{2}}, \frac{t}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { scattering } \\
\text { angle }
\end{array}}
$$

? construct observables to isolate novel SCTs not appearing in SM
? systematize directly in terms of SCT bases
in progress: De Angelis Durieux Grojean YS

## EFT of electroweak precision measurements \& spurion analysis

Julian Northey, YS, Yotam Soreq, in progress

Z- and W-pole measurements: 3-points - simple \& "exact" (no kinematic expansion)

$$
M\left(\bar{Q}^{i} Q^{j} V\right)=C_{j}^{i} \frac{[13]\langle 23\rangle}{M_{V}}
$$

## EFT of electroweak precision measurements \& spurion analysis



## EFT of electroweak precision \& spurion analysis

SU(2) structure to all orders via "spurion" analysis spurion = Higgs VEV

examine on-shell Higgsing to see: start @ dim-6


## EFT of electroweak precision \& spurion analysis

> SU(2) structure to all orders via "spurion" analysis spurion = Higgs VEV

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}\left(\bar{Q}^{i}, Q_{j}, B\right) \sim c_{Q 1} \delta_{j}{ }^{i}+c_{Q 2}\left(\tau^{a}\right)_{j}{ }^{i}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \tau^{a} \mathcal{H}\right), \\
\mathcal{M}\left(\bar{Q}^{i}, Q_{j}, W^{a}\right) \sim c_{Q 3}\left(\tau^{a}\right)_{j}{ }^{i}+c_{Q 4} \delta_{j}{ }^{i}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \tau^{a} \mathcal{H}\right)+c_{Q 5} \varepsilon^{a b c}\left(\tau^{b}\right)_{j}{ }^{i}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \tau^{c} \mathcal{H}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

examine on-shell Higgsing to see:
start @ dim-8
to conclude:

- amplitudes in terms of LG covariant spinors: power of Lorentz: uniform description of amplitudes of different spins; Higgsing of massless amplitudes into massive ones
- mature(ing) methods for on-shell derivations of low-energy EFT amplitudes:
- EFT parametrization directly in terms of physical particles, couplings
- operator bases $->$ kinematic spinor structures bases: promising starting point for isolating novel
effects in experiment
- clear distinction between HEFT, SMEFT

Thank you!

